Customer No. 25780

Case No. 50288

## REMARKS

Claims 1, 3-5, 7, 9-11, 15 and 16 were pending in the application. Claims 1, 3-5 and 7 are hereby canceled without prejudice. Claims 9, 11 and 15 have been amended. Claim 17 has been added. Thus, claims 9-11, 15, 16 and 17 are subject to continued examination.

Each of the claims now recites that the curper substrate comprises a plurality of interwoven carpet yams defining a user contact surface having a sist-like partner setzure including plurality of raised knueddes with intentitial depressions between the mised knueddes, In addition, each of the claims now specifically recites that the curpet is jet dyed with a design or pattern and the DPI of the design or pattern is greater than the number of woven yarns per inch in the waver construction.

It is respectfully submitted that the art of record does not teach or suggest such process limitations and that the totality of the art actually teaches away from the invention as claimed. Accordingly, reconsideration and withdrawal of all outstanding rejections is requested at this time.

It is well established that the art must be considered the reveything it teaches – including portions that would lead away from the invention. In this regard, Applicants note that the reference to Blumenaus appears to disclose only print dying of flat materials including flat fabrics and level pile fabric materials. As best understood, the Office Artion takes the position that the general teaching in Blumenaus reperding patterning of worne flavires such as upholstery fabrics and pile fabrics indicates that the disclosed printing technique is not accessarily limited to flat structures. The Office Action thus concludes that the prior arguments that Blumenaus teaches away from patterning a sisal-like construction are without morit. Applicants respectfully disagree.

Applicants note that the Office Action itself recognizes that the degree of non-fatness depends on the size of the fiber or yam used. In each of the claims the yam of use is recited to be "a carpet yam" which defines a sisal-like surface texture. The term "carpet yam" denotes to

Customer No. 25280

Case No. 50298

those of skill in the art a relatively heavy yarn and thus a substantial degree of non-flatness. In this regard Applicants draw the Examiner's attention to page 17, lines 5-13 of the cited reference to Scott (WO 99/1557) which indicates that yarns in the range of 600 to 300 decise are "curry weight" yarns and are beavier than yarns conventionally used for the production of upholstery, dengery and other lighter weight fibries. Moreover, even the pile yarn disclosed in Binnenzaus is described as a level pile carpet. See, Col. 5, line 34-35. Thus, atthough the disclosed structures in Binnenzaus are not necessarily perfectly that they certainly do not have the degree of surface impulsivity that is associated with the claimed site alternative construction.

Applicants also note that Blumnaus contemplates the use of screen printing raise than jet dying as greently claimed. As best understood screen printing is suited for relatively level application surfaces. Thus the present practice not only departs from the express teachings of Blumnaus by using a highly irregular surface but also uses a completely different dye application technique.

Applicants also note that Blumenaus advocates reducing the dye application detail down to about 8 doug ten info from a higher doug per inch which was unsuitable for carpet. Applicant's recital that the DPI of the applied design or pattern is greater than the number of carpet yarans per meh of the carpet substrate appears to run centrary to the general philosophy of reducing the DPI levels advocated by Blumenaus.

Finally, Applicants wish to point out that while Scott teaches a woven face carpet as an allernative to pile carpets, Scott also teaches that patterns are developed in such woven face carpets by the use of different warp and well yarm colons. Thus, as best understood, Scott does not contemplate the ability to jet dye pattern a woven face carpet. While the Shoshkes reference teaches that carpet can be printed, there is no teaching as to the jet dyeing of a woven carpet. Rather, as best understood, the Shoshkes contemplates only roller printing and screen printing. See, Fage 39, Col. 2.

Conclusion:

Customer No. 25280

Case No. 8028B

In light of the amendments and remarks set forth above, it is respectfully submitted that

the srt of record does not provide a basis for continued rejection of the claims as now written.

Accordingly, reconsideration and withdrawal of all outstanding rejections is requested at this time.

Extension Request/Authorization to Charge Deposit Account:

A petition for a one month extension of time accompanies this submission. To any extent required, a request for an additional extension of time is hereby made. Authorization is provided to deduct the RCE fee, the extension fee and any additional fee as may be required from Deposit Account No. 04-0500.

February 6, 2004

Dzniel R. Alexander Reg. No. 32,604 864-503-1372 (phone) 864-583-1999 (fax)

CERTIFICATE OF TRANSMISSION

i hereby certify that this correspondence is being fecsimile transmitted to The United States Patent at Trademark Office at 703-872-9306 on February 6, 2004

Attorney for Applicant(s)